

STATE OF MINNESOTA
COUNTIES OF CARVER, DAKOTA,
GOODHUE, LE SUEUR, MCLEOD,
SCOTT, AND SIBLEY

DISTRICT COURT
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

In re: Source Code Evidentiary Hearings in
Implied Consent Matters

**CASE MANAGEMENT
ORDER**

WHEREAS, the Court has determined that this Case Management Order ("CMO") is appropriate and will be of assistance in the efficient management of this litigation; **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, that this CMO be and hereby is entered as follows:

1. Case Designation

Every filing shall contain, in its caption, the Master File Number 70-CV-09-19459. In addition, for each separate case the individual originating County file number assigned to each case must also be included in the caption for any filing which pertains to an individual case. Filings in the Master Court File shall be made as set forth in the following paragraph. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a listing of the original file numbers and other pertinent information for each case which is subject to this Order.

All cases and all filings for the Master Case File herein shall be directed to the attention of Lori Brandon, Court Administration Scott County. Regardless of where the case was initially filed, all cases subject to the Consolidation Orders issued in the First District by the Honorable Edward Lynch, as attached in Exhibit A, are to comply with the terms of this CMO. All filings for the individual matters shall be filed with the Court Administrator's Office in the originating county.

2. Applicability of Order

This Case Management Order (“CMO”) applies to all pre trial, and trial proceedings concerning the “Source Code” issue in the Implied Consent Master Case and in all cases listed in Exhibit A. Upon resolution of the “Source Code” issue, each case shall be returned to its originating County for such further trials or hearings as may be required.

3. Filing and Service of Papers

a. Master Service List.

Except as otherwise provided for herein, all papers or pleadings filed with the Court or served upon a party shall be served as described in this CMO on counsel for all parties to this action in accordance with the Master Case List, attached hereto as Exhibit A. For the purposes of economy, it shall be sufficient to state in a certificate of service that the relevant document was served on counsel for all parties and on unrepresented parties listed on the Master Case List current as of that date. The Master Case List may be incorporated by reference with express reference to the revised date thereof, and need not be attached to the certificate of service. The document served must be addressed to the individual attorney(s) or unrepresented party(ies) on the Master Case List.

b. Method and Timing of Service.

Service of all pleadings, motions, deposition notices, requests for discovery and other papers required to be served upon counsel for the parties or unrepresented parties (collectively “papers”) shall be affected

upon the parties with copies to all persons on the Master Case List by electronic mail. Papers served by electronic mail shall be attached to e-mails as Adobe Acrobat (.pdf) files or Microsoft Word (.doc) files. To the extent a party is unable to effect service by electronic mail to counsel for any party or any party not represented by counsel, service may be affected by facsimile, overnight mail, or regular mail. Large exhibits, affidavits, declarations, or other supplemental documents may be served by overnight mail. With respect to any papers served as described in this paragraph 3b, three (3) days shall be added to any time computed under the Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure or the Minnesota General Rules of Practice for any party to respond to any such papers.

All Orders of the court in connection with this case shall be posted on the First Judicial District Website at <http://www.mncourts.gov/district/1/?page=3753> and shall also be simultaneously transmitted to Liaison Counsel for service upon parties in accordance with the procedures set forth herein.

c. Filings.

The original of every pleading and motion shall be filed with this Court along with proof of service on all counsel and unrepresented parties. The original of each filing shall be directed to the Master File; one copy shall be directed to the originating county for filing in the individual case file. A courtesy copy of every pleading, motion, or letter shall also be directed to Judge Abrams, C/O Daniel J. Sagstetter, Judicial Law Clerk. The parties

are advised that for each case in which a fee may be required for filing, (e.g. motion fee, fax fee) ***THE FEE MUST BE PAID FOR EACH CASE IN WHICH RELIEF IS BEING SOUGHT.*** The fee should be submitted to Court Administration in the originating county for each individual file. No additional fee is required for the service copy directed to the Master File. The filing of discovery materials with this Court shall be governed by the Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure, except that the original of all such papers which are not filed with this Court under such rules shall be kept in the offices of counsel responsible for generating such pleading, motion or discovery.

d. Correspondence.

All materials, such as correspondence, which are not due to be docketed, shall be sent directly to the chambers of Judge Abrams. Correspondence and other materials will only be accepted if they are in regards to general administrative matters. The parties shall not submit correspondence regarding substantive matters or any other substantive materials directly to the Judge assigned to the case unless requested by or authorized by Judge Abrams. The corresponding party shall contemporaneously forward a copy of all correspondence and other materials sent to Judge Abrams to all counsel and unrepresented parties by electronic mail or regular mail, as may be necessary.

e. Documents Filed with the District Court.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, any motion genuinely requiring emergency

relief shall be delivered directly to the chambers of Judge Abrams. Any such document shall also be served electronically or faxed to all parties on the date of delivery. Proof of service shall be filed within (5) five business days thereafter.

4. Discovery

a. Avoiding Redundancy.

All parties should use their best efforts to avoid unduly duplicative submissions and propound joint discovery requests to the end of minimizing the need for any other party to perform repetitive file searches or interviews of employees and agents on the same topics.

b. Document Requests.

The parties shall not unreasonably refuse to grant extensions of time if reasonably required due to the voluminous number of documents being produced or other necessity associated with their document production.

i. Place of Production and Procedures.

Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, parties shall produce documents for inspection and copying, to the extent practicable, in the form and manner in which the documents have been maintained in the ordinary course of business or in which they previously have been maintained for production in litigation. To distinguish effectively among the documents designated for copying by the parties, each page of each document copied by any party shall bear a unique document identification number, with a unique prefix which identifies the party

producing the document ("Bate Stamps" or "Bate Label"). Where documents or portions of documents are withheld, the parties shall, either through the numbering system or as otherwise provided in this Order, to the extent reasonably practicable, identify the number of pages withheld in a manner sufficient to indicate their location in the file being produced. Where part of a page is redacted, both the fact and location of the redaction, and the size or extent of the redaction shall be made clear on the face of the document.

Within a reasonable time before production, the producing party shall advise the inspecting party of the approximate volume of the documents and a general description of the types of files or other materials involved. Each party shall produce its documents at its option: (a) by production of originals as they are kept in the ordinary course of business; (b) by production of as legible as possible photocopies in the same format; or (c) by electronic means or other computerized storage. Notwithstanding these provisions, any party may request to inspect the original of any document, communication, or thing produced and the parties shall make arrangements for such inspection within ten (10) days of the request.

The location of the production shall be at the place where the documents are kept in the ordinary course of business, at the office of the producing attorney, or as otherwise agreed by the parties, provided, however, that all such document productions shall take place

in the United States.

ii. *Privilege Log.*

If a party determines that a document responsive to a document request is subject to attorney/client privilege, attorney work product protection, or any other form of privileges or protection, the following method of handling the privileged or protected writing shall be followed. The producing party may withhold the privileged or protected document and must identify the withheld document on a privilege log which shall be provided to the requesting party and all other parties as soon as practicable, but no more than thirty (30) days following the date on which the producing party is due to commence physical production of the requested documents. If after completion of production pursuant to a particular demand for inspection the producing party discovers additional responsive documents and determines any of them to be subject to attorney/client privilege, attorney work product protection, or any other form of privilege or protection, the producing party may withhold any such privileged or protected document and must identify the withheld document on a privilege log which shall be provided to the requesting party as soon as practicable, but in no case more than thirty (30) days after the documents are discovered. Likewise, to the extent any material within a document otherwise producible contains privileged or protected information, the document shall be produced subject to redaction of the

subject privileged and protected material and shall be listed on the privilege log. All privilege logs shall identify each privileged document or work product by providing the Bates Label range, date, author(s), recipient(s), the subject matter of the document withheld or information redacted and the nature of the privilege or work product protection asserted. Nothing in this section shall preclude a party from challenging a claim of privilege.

c. Confidentiality Order.

All documents and other discovery materials and testimony produced or provided in this action may be subject to the terms and provisions of the Protective Order, in the form as attached as Exhibit B hereto, which has been entered in each case.

d. Inadvertent Production of Privileged Information.

If a party inadvertently produces information or documents that it considers privileged or protected material, in whole or in part, or learns of the production of its privileged or protected material by a third-party, the party may retrieve such information or documents or parts thereof, memoranda and other material as follows:

- (1) Any assertion of inadvertent production shall be made as soon as practicable, but in any case within ten (10) days of the date the party discovers that it, its agents or attorneys, or a third-party has inadvertently produced the privileged document. The party asserting inadvertent production must provide written notice to all parties on

the Master Case List via electronic mail or as otherwise provided herein that the party claims the document, in whole or in part, to be privileged or protected material; in addition, such notice must state the nature of the privilege or protection and the factual basis for asserting it. No assertion of inadvertent production will be made less than thirty (30) days before trial or fourteen (14) days after service of a trial exhibit list, whichever comes later.

- (2) Upon receipt of such notice, all parties who have received copies of the document shall, within five (5) days thereafter, confer with the producing party and discuss how to resolve the issue. If no agreement is reached, the producing party may request reasonable relief from the Court, including an order that all copies of inadvertently produced documents shall be returned to the producing party, destroyed or otherwise be made available for procurement by the requesting party. Parties who received copies of inadvertently produced documents may oppose the granting of such relief on any permissible basis, including requesting an order that the inadvertently produced documents are not privileged and do not constitute protected attorney work product.
- (3) In the event that only part of a document is claimed to be privileged or protected, the party asserting inadvertent production shall furnish to all parties redacted copies of such document, removing only the part(s) thereof claimed to be privileged or protected, together with

such written notice.

e. Mutual Use of Discovery.

To help avoid redundancy, all discovery served by any party inure to the benefit of and are enforceable by any other party. The settlement, release or dismissal by any means of any party propounding such discovery will not in any way limit or extinguish any other party's obligation to comply with the discovery.

5. Motion Practice

Except as otherwise provided by the Court, pretrial motions in this litigation shall be governed by the Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure and by the General Rules of Practice for the District Courts, provided that these latter rules are modified procedurally as follows:

- (1) Motion hearing dates shall be obtained directly from Jan Vohnoutka at Scott County Court Administration;
- (2) Proposed orders for dispositive motions shall not be submitted unless specifically requested by the Court;
- (3) The moving party shall provide a certification of an attempt to meet and confer to resolve their dispute, (such as is described in Rule 115.10 of the Rules of General Practice for the District Courts) which shall be in writing and shall be filed separately at least two (2) days prior to the hearing date.

Counsel shall attempt to coordinate a hearing date and the notice of motions for hearing on a date cleared with Jan Vohnoutka at Scott County Court Administration.

Nothing shall restrict any party's right to apply to the Court for an order shortening or extending time or page limitations on a motion upon a showing of good cause, but only after making good faith efforts to resolve the issue among counsel.

6. Coordination Among Parties

The Court expects cooperation among the parties to coordinate motion practice, discovery, trial, or otherwise to minimize the expense in this litigation. The parties shall, to the maximum extent practicable, avoid duplicative motions, briefs and discovery ("filings") consistent with each party's individual interests. Since many parties have a commonality of interest as to many issues in the actions, they may serve joint discovery and file joint submissions with the Court and/or adopt, join in or support any motion made or discovery propounded by another party simply by so noting in writing.

Each party has an affirmative duty to immediately notify the involved party upon receipt of any misdirected attorney/client or other privileged communication or work product document, outside the ordinary course of discovery. Upon written request, the receiving party shall either (a) return such communication or other document, along with any and all copies, to the involved party, or (b) provide correspondence or affidavit to the involved party attesting to the fact that such communication or documents and all copies thereof have been destroyed.

7. Depositions

a. Cooperation.

The parties will use reasonable efforts to schedule depositions by agreement. To that end, the parties will participate in bi-weekly discovery conferences by telephone for the purpose of making best efforts to select

mutually convenient dates and places for the initial round of depositions, identifying witnesses and arranging other matters. Unless otherwise agreed, formal notice of scheduled depositions is required. Unless exigent circumstances exist, the parties will be advised of a deposition at least ten (10) calendar days before a deposition is scheduled to commence.

b. Non-Party Depositions.

Counsel shall attempt to resolve with any non-party deponent the identification for production and subsequent production of any documents being subpoenaed. Whenever possible, this process shall be completed no later than seven (7) days before the date on which the deposition has been scheduled. All counsel shall be given notice of any documents identified for production pursuant to subpoena and shall have the right to inspect and copy, at each inspecting party's expense, whatever documents are produced by a non-party in response to a subpoena. Upon request, a party shall conduct a search of all records that may disclose the present address of any former employee and shall provide such information to the requesting party as soon as practicable. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any party, if it so chooses, from obtaining the attendance of any former employee or officer of another party for deposition by subpoena in the first instance.

c. Stipulations.

Unless otherwise noted on the record, the following stipulations shall apply

to all depositions in these actions:

- (1) Any objection by a single party shall be deemed an objection by each and every similarly situated party;
- (2) Corrections to a deposition transcript shall be listed on an errata sheet, copies of which shall be served on all parties by counsel for the deponent or the deponent, within thirty (30) days following receipt of the deposition transcript;
- (3) To the extent practicable, exhibits shall be attached to the original transcript. Where the form or volume of exhibits makes attachment to the transcript impractical, the custody of such exhibits shall be maintained at the office of the attorney taking the deposition or the court reporter and such exhibits shall, after reasonable notice, be subject to inspection and copying by any party during normal business hours or by appointment;
- (4) The parties shall strive to select and retain court reporters that can produce transcripts in both manuscript and computer-readable format, other agreed format. The parties may stipulate to maintain an online repository for all depositions taken in these cases subject to limitations on accessibility as may be determined by the parties.

d. Deposition Schedule.

With respect to aged or infirm witnesses, counsel shall abide by the reasonable request of such witnesses with regard to timing and availability for deposition testimony. The parties will undertake all reasonable efforts

to conduct depositions in an efficient, cost-effective and expedited manner.

e. Attendance and Interrogation.

All parties shall be entitled to be represented at every deposition and to inquire of a deponent through their counsel. A former employee or officer may be represented at his or her deposition by counsel for the former employer. In order to facilitate necessary arrangement for attending counsel, not less than two (2) days prior to the commencement date of a deposition, any counsel intending to attend the deposition shall use its best efforts to notify the noticing party and counsel for the deponent.

f. Time and Location of Depositions.

Depositions may be held Monday through Friday, and shall commence no earlier than 9:00 a.m., and conclude no later than 5:00 p.m. local time, unless otherwise agreed between counsel or ordered by the Court. No deposition shall be scheduled for more than two (2) consecutive days absent agreement by the parties or order of the Court. A deposition may, however, proceed for a third consecutive day without agreement of the parties or order of the Court if there is at least eighteen (18) hours between the end of the second deposition day and the commencement of the third. To save expense and travel time, all sessions of the deposition of a single deponent shall, to the extent consistent with the witnesses' schedule and health and the deposition schedule, and unless otherwise agreed, proceed on successive weekdays and for the full deposition day

until completion. Except as the parties may agree, no deposition shall be scheduled on the following dates: Court hearing dates, Martin Luther King, Jr.'s Birthday, President's Day, Good Friday, Passover (the first two days), Memorial Day, Independence Day (including the preceding Monday if it falls on a Tuesday or the following Friday if it falls on a Thursday), Labor Day, Rosh Hashanah (two days), Yom Kippur (two days), Columbus Day, Veterans Day, and Thanksgiving (Wednesday, Thursday and Friday).

Depositions of witnesses residing outside the United States shall not be scheduled on national holidays in the witness' home country. In addition, no depositions shall be scheduled between December 21, 2009 and January 4, 2010 except upon agreement of the parties.

g. Out of State Depositions.

In order to facilitate the orderly taking of any such foreign deposition, the Court hereby orders commissions to be granted to take out of state depositions of parties and non-party witnesses, at such times and in such places as are agreed upon by counsel, such commissions to be issued to persons duly authorized by the law of the foreign state to take such testimony. This Order appointing commissions to take foreign depositions shall be applicable to all out of state depositions taken in this action, without the need for any party to file any additional motion for appointment of a commission to take any out of state deposition. The parties will provide the Court with a template order, or otherwise with other necessary appropriate orders respecting the appointment of commissions.

h. Exhibits.

To the extent practicable, all parties intending to question a witness at a deposition with respect to documents shall provide a reasonable number of copies of such documents for the use of the other parties in attendance at the deposition. Exhibits should be identified by the name of the witness and numbered consecutively in each deposition.

i. Objections.

The only objections that shall be raised at any deposition are those involving a privilege or other protection against disclosure or some matter that may be remedied at the time, such as to the form of the question, that the question has previously been asked and clearly answered, or the responsiveness of the answers. Objections on any other grounds shall be avoided and are not waived but preserved until trial. All objections shall be concise and must not suggest answers to the deponent. So called "speaking objections" are not permitted. Except as to an objection on grounds of privilege, any objection made by one party reserves that objection for all other parties and duplicate objections shall not be made.

j. Directions to Deponent Not to Answer.

Directions to a deponent not to answer are improper except on the grounds of privilege, confidentiality, or other similar protection, or to enable the party or deponent to present a motion to the Court for termination of the deposition or protection such as under Minnesota Rule of Procedure 26.03. When privilege, confidentiality or other protection is

claimed, the witness shall nevertheless answer questions relevant to the existence, extent or waiver of the privilege, confidentiality, or other protection.

k. Immediate Presentation of Deposition Disputes.

Consistent with discovery concepts and objectives set forth above, if disputes arise during a deposition which the attorneys cannot resolve by agreement and which, if not promptly decided, will critically disrupt the discovery program or court-imposed schedules, the parties may submit the matter orally by telephone to the undersigned if available.

8. Avoidance of Unnecessary Duplication

Cooperation and communication among parties as ordered herein shall not constitute the waiver of any applicable privilege or be construed as evidence of wrongful conduct. In the event that any party is in genuine doubt about the legal effect of the communication and cooperation ordered herein, such party may seek the Court's clarification of the party's responsibilities before proceeding.

9. No Waiver of Privilege Due to Joint Efforts

Communications in connection with this case between and among counsel for the parties and/or their clients, including the exchange of documents and information, shall be deemed subject to the attorney/client privilege, work product protection, and any other applicable privilege or protection to the same extent as if the communication had taken place within one law firm or between one law firm and one client represented by that firm. Protection afforded by this Order will survive the conclusion of this litigation and the dismissal of any party from this action. If a party withdraws from any

cooperative litigation efforts with other parties, previous communications among the withdrawing party and such other parties and all work product shared by or with the withdrawing party with respect to this action, will remain subject to any attorney/client privilege, work product protection, or other privilege that attached at the time the communications were made or the work product was shared. Any such withdrawing party is under a duty not to reveal information obtained through such cooperative efforts.

10. Rules and Procedures

This CMO supersedes any provision of the Minnesota Rules of Civil procedure and General Rules of Practice for the District Court that are in conflict with the provisions of this CMO.

11. CMO Binding on Subsequently Added Parties

Any new party to this consolidated action after the date the CMO is entered up to and including February 16, 2010 shall be served with a copy of this CMO by Liaison Counsel and any subsequent Case Management Orders. Any such new party will be bound by this CMO and all other Case Management Orders unless it files a motion for relief with the Court within ten (10) days after service of this CMO and other case management order upon it. Upon the addition of any party to this action, the party adding the new party shall serve a copy of this CMO on counsel for the new party within five (5) days of the date of receiving notice of the identity of the new party's counsel.

12. Liaison Counsel

In recognition of the large numbers of prosecutors, petitioners, and defendants in this action and to promote sufficient communication between and among the parties and

the Court, the parties will appoint counsel to serve as Liaison Counsel, designated as follows:

Marsh Halberg
Minnesota Society for Criminal Justice – Source Code Coalition

Lee Orwig
Minnesota Society for Criminal Justice – Source Code Coalition

Jeff Sheridan
Minnesota Society for Criminal Justice – Source Code Coalition

Chuck Ramsay
Minnesota Society for Criminal Justice – Source Code Coalition

Derek Patrin
Meaney & Patrin, P.A.

Kristi Nielsen
Minnesota Attorney General's Office

David Koob
Minnesota Attorney General's Office

Subject to the right of any party to present individual or divergent positions, the liaison counsel is vested by this Court with the following responsibilities and duties:

- (1) Communicate with opposing counsel, communicate with all other counsel in its respective liaison group and receive orders, notices and correspondence from this Court and the District Court Administrator in any matter pertaining to this action;
- (2) Promptly forward to all counsel for its respective liaison group copies of all documents from the Court or the District Court Administrator, not otherwise provided to them electronically, report to all counsel in the represented group on all meetings and communications with this Court or other liaison counsel;

- (3) Organize and schedule meetings of counsel for joint action;
- (4) Coordinate common discovery;
- (5) Initiate action by the Court to remedy disputes among the parties;
- (6) Participate in conference calls with this Court to resolve disputes and scheduling matters;
- (7) Maintain a current copy of the Master Case List, and serve and file any updated Master Case Lists; and
- (8) Perform such other duties as may be expressly authorized by further order of this Court or agreed to by counsel.

Liaison counsel shall not be deemed to speak for, act for, or bind any particular litigant or group of litigants absent express authority provided by such litigant or group. All counsel of record shall have an opportunity to present to this Court their respective views and opinions as to matters before this Court. The liaison counsel shall not be liable for any actions arising from their respective roles as such and this Court shall act to remedy any inadvertence as appropriate.

13. Pro Hac Vice Admission of Attorneys

Any lawyer admitted or currently licensed to practice before a Court of general jurisdiction in any state in the United States and who is specifically associated with a currently licensed Minnesota lawyer may be deemed admitted pro hac vice to practice before the Court in this litigation only. Other than those attorneys admitted pro hac vice prior to the date of issuance of this Order, attorneys may be deemed admitted pro hac vice upon completion of the following:

- A. An Affidavit Setting Forth:

- (1) His or her full name and non-Minnesota business address;
- (2) His or her date and place of each state licensure;
- (3) A representation that the affiant's license to practice law is current and is not under revocation, suspension, restriction or limitation in any other state of admission or in the federal courts, and that the affiant is an attorney in good standing in all states of licensure;
- (4) A representation that the affiant is, or will promptly become, familiar with all applicable Minnesota court rules, procedures and requirements of professional conduct, and will follow and abide by such rules, procedures and requirements.

B. A Notice of Pro Hac Vice Representation Setting Forth:

- (1) The non-Minnesota lawyer's full name and non-Minnesota business address, telephone number, facsimile number, and e-mail address;
- (2) The name, address, telephone number, facsimile number and e-mail address of the Minnesota lawyer or law firm with whom the attorney will associate for purposes of this litigation;
- (3) The name of each party whom the attorney will represent.

Such affidavit and notice of pro hac vice representation shall be filed with the Court Administrator of the originating county of the case within the First Judicial District. Notice of pro hac vice representation shall be served upon all counsel on the Master Case List.

14. Status Conferences and Scheduling

a. Status Conferences.

General status conferences shall be held at 1:30 p.m. every other Friday as needed from December 11, 2009 (excluding December 25, 2009) until no longer needed. The principal purpose of the general status conference is to discuss and resolve administrative issues common to all parties. Issues that affect only specific parties and that have no significant implications for other parties will be calendared for a separate hearing date or, if the status conference agenda permits, for 2:30 p.m. on a status conference date.

Not later than the preceding Friday before the status conference, liaison counsel shall confer and shall determine whether or not a status conference for the following Friday will be necessary. If they agree that such conference is not necessary, they shall cancel the conference and promptly notify the Court and the remaining parties of the cancellation. If liaison counsel decides to proceed with the status conference, they shall prepare a common agenda and shall notify all parties and the Court thereof not later than the close of the business day on the Monday preceding the conference date.

b. Scheduling.

In recognition of the complexity of the issues before the Court, the Court will discuss the progress of the parties in discovery and other matters at the status conferences and upon application of the parties jointly or unilaterally may amend or modify the scheduling order from time to time.

The following dates and deadlines shall apply to all actions subject to this CMO:

February 16, 2010 - Petitioner expert disclosure deadline; non-expert discovery ends; final date for adding new cases to docket of consolidated cases

April 2, 2010 - Respondent expert disclosure deadline

April 5, 2010 - Expert discovery begins


April 19, 2010 - All non source code pre trial issues are to be resolved

May 3, 2010 - Expert discovery concludes

May 10-21, 2010 - Final hearing on source code issue

Dated: 12-1-09.

BY THE COURT:



Jerome B. Abrams
Judge of District Court

Exhibit B

STATE OF MINNESOTA

DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Court File No.

Petitioner,

vs.

PROTECTIVE ORDER

Commissioner of Public Safety,

Respondent.

WHEREAS, the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota has entered a Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction regarding access to the source code used in the operation of the Intoxilyzer 5000EN (“Source Code”), the breath-alcohol testing instrument used to enforce the driving while impaired (“DWI”) and implied consent laws in Minnesota. This Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction requires issuance of a Protective Order as a precondition to obtaining access to the Source Code; and

WHEREAS, this Court has ordered that the Source Code be made available for inspection and review or has found the Source Code to be relevant or material in the above-captioned case; and

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. As used in this Protective Order, the listed terms have the following meanings:

“Attorneys” means counsel of record in this matter;

“Confidential” documents and information are documents or information designated Confidential pursuant to Paragraph 2 herein; and

“Source Code” refers specifically to the Source Code for the Intoxilyzer 5000EN used in the State of Minnesota.

2. A Party may designate any document “Confidential,” including interrogatory responses, other discovery responses, or transcripts, based on a good faith belief that the document constitutes or contains trade secrets or other confidential information. Source Code is hereby designated as Confidential, except for that portion of the Source Code assigned and delivered to the State pursuant to the Settlement Agreement between the State and CMI dated June 1, 2009.

3. All Confidential documents and information shall be used solely for the purpose of the above-captioned matter, or as otherwise permitted by the federal Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction.. No person receiving such documents or information shall, directly or indirectly, use, transfer, disclose, or communicate in any way Confidential documents or information to any person other than those specified in Paragraph 4 herein and the federal Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction.

4. Access to any Confidential document or information shall be limited to:

- (a) The Court and its staff;
- (b) Attorneys of record and their law firms;
- (c) Persons shown on the face of the document to have authored or received it;

- (d) Court reporters retained to transcribe testimony;
- (e) The Parties to this case;
- (f) Outside vendors (limited to professional copy services);
- (g) Outside independent persons who are retained by or otherwise assist a Party or its Attorneys to provide technical or expert services and/or give testimony in this action, and who are not, and have not been, employed by (as an employee, agent, or consultant) or otherwise affiliated with, any manufacturer of breath alcohol testing instruments within the preceding twenty-four (24) months.

5. Any outside independent person (as defined in Paragraph 4(g) herein) who receives access to the Source Code or other Confidential information shall execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement in the form prescribed in Paragraph 3(c) of the federal Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction before receiving access to the Source Code or Confidential Information. In addition, any Attorney or Party (as defined in Paragraphs 4(b) and (e) herein) who receives access to the Source Code shall also execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement in the form prescribed in Paragraph 3(c) of the federal Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction before receiving access to the Source Code. Receipt of access to the Source Code pursuant to this Protective Order shall not constitute or convey any right, title, license, or other interest in any portion of the Source Code.

6. Non-parties producing documents in the course of this action may also designate documents as "Confidential" subject to the same protections and constraints as the Parties to this action. A copy of this Protective Order shall be served along with any

subpoena served in connection with this action. All documents and information produced by such non-parties shall be treated as "Confidential" for a period of 15 days from the date of their production, and during that period any Party may designate such documents as "Confidential" pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order.

7. Any testimony or written report that contains Confidential documents or information will receive the same protections afforded to Confidential documents themselves. Confidentiality designations for testimony shall be made on the record or, where appropriate, by written notice to the other Party. It shall be the responsibility of the Party who noticed the deposition, called the witness, or seeks to introduce the evidence, to designate such testimony or information as Confidential. The testimony of any witness (or any portion of such testimony) that contains Confidential information shall be given only in the presence of persons who are qualified to have access to such information pursuant to Paragraph 4 herein.

8. Any Party or non-party that inadvertently fails to identify documents or information as Confidential in accordance with this Protective Order shall, upon discovery of its oversight, promptly provide written notice of the error and substitute appropriately designated documents or information. Any Party receiving notice of improperly designated documents or information shall act immediately to retrieve such documents or information from persons not entitled to receive such documents or information and shall return the improperly designated documents or information to the producing Party.

9. Any document designated Confidential or containing Confidential

information that is filed with this Court, including any expert report, shall be filed under seal. Any Confidential information shall be redacted from such document or report before it is made publicly available.

10. No action taken in accordance with this Protective Order shall be construed to be a waiver of any claim or defense in the action or of any position as to discoverability or admissibility of any evidence in the case.

11. The obligations imposed by this Protective Order shall survive the termination of the above-captioned matter.

12. Any violation or breach of the terms and conditions set forth in this Protective Order shall be grounds for any appropriate sanctions available under the law.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: _____

Judge of District Court

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
2	10-CV-06-939	Carver County	Civil	Daniel John Widmer vs Commissioner of Public	9/14/2006	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		9/18/2009
3	10-CV-06-1036	Carver County	Civil	SHANE M STEFFENSEN vs Commissioner of Public	10/10/2006	PET: RAMSAY, CHARLES ALAN	N		N		9/29/2009
4	10-CV-06-1095	Carver County	Civil	Jennifer Lyn Phalen vs Commissioner of Public	10/24/2006	PET: AHERN, PAUL B	N		N		9/29/2009
5	10-CV-06-1161	Carver County	Civil	William Hamilton Johnson vs Commissioner of Public	11/2/2006	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		10/21/2009
6	10-CV-07-6	Carver County	Civil	Christopher Jon Schiefelbein vs Commissioner of Public	1/3/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		10/30/2009
7	10-CV-07-59	Carver County	Civil	Michael Douglas Pyka vs Commissioner of Public	1/19/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		9/29/2009
8	10-CV-07-85	Carver County	Civil	Mark Dennis Juno vs Commissioner of Public	1/30/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		9/18/2009
9	10-CV-07-224	Carver County	Civil	Eric Anthony Ernhart vs Commissioner of Public	3/9/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		9/18/2009
10	10-CV-07-401	Carver County	Civil	Joshua James Stuewe vs Commissioner of Public	4/16/2007	PET: MCCLLOUD, SAMUEL A	N		N		10/1/2009
11	10-CV-07-466	Carver County	Civil	Joseph Charles King vs Commissioner of Public	4/30/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		10/21/2009
12	10-CV-07-650	Carver County	Civil	Richard Lee Anderson vs Commissioner of Public	6/7/2007	PET: WALBURG, STEPHEN W	N	TBD	Y		9/22/2009
13	10-CV-07-651	Carver County	Civil	Aaron Michael Dehn vs Commissioner of Public	6/7/2007	PET: WALBURG, STEPHEN W	N	TBD	Y		9/22/2009
14	10-CV-07-666	Carver County	Civil	Michael Stanley Graikowski vs Commissioner of Public	6/8/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		10/30/2009
15	10-CV-07-870	Carver County	Civil	Jeremy D Reboulet vs Commissioner of Public	7/18/2007	PET: BAUER, THOMAS E	N		N	11/20/2009	
16	10-CV-07-1075	Carver County	Civil	Casey Michael Brueggemeier vs Commissioner of Publ	9/10/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		10/21/2009
17	10-CV-07-1076	Carver County	Civil	Craig A Zenobian vs Commissioner of Publ	9/10/2007	PET: RAMSAY, CHARLES ALAN	N		N		10/21/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
18	10-CV-07-1110	Carver County	Civil	Thomas Robert Schneiderhan vs Commissioner of Public	9/14/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		10/30/2009
19	10-CV-07-1166	Carver County	Civil	Jared John Duske vs Commissioner of Public	9/21/2007	PET: PRICE, JOHN ASHTON, III	N		N	11/23/2009	
20	10-CV-07-1177	Carver County	Civil	Brandon Dennis Schmidt vs Commissioner of Public	9/25/2007	PET: MILLER, GERALD A	N		N	11/23/2009	
21	10-CV-07-1378	Carver County	Civil	Donald Charles Cass vs Commissioner of Publ	11/14/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		9/18/2009
22	10-CV-07-1498	Carver County	Civil	Angela Ann Mesenbring vs Commissioner of Public	12/5/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N		N		10/30/2009
23	10-CV-07-1502	Carver County	Civil	Paul C. Cancilla vs Commissioner of Public Sa	12/10/2007	PET:NEWMARK, ERIC	N		N	12/7/2009	
24	10-CV-09-13	Carver County	Civil	John Tyler Tietz vs Commissioner of Public	1/12/2009	PET: AHERN, PAUL B	N		N	11/15/2009	
25	10-CV-09-190	Carver County	Civil	Beth Christine Durum vs Commissioner of Publ	2/12/2009	PET: TACKETT, JOHN WADE	N	1/25/2010	Y		
26	10-CV-09-490	Carver County	Civil	JESSE WAYNE HARRISON vs Commissioner of Public	4/6/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N	Appellate co	Y		
27	10-CV-09-517	Carver County	Civil	Kattie Snyder vs Commissioner of Public	4/10/2009	PRO-SE	N	Waiting for filing fee			
28	10-CV-09-592	Carver County	Civil	Rose C. Stenberg vs Commissioner of Public	4/22/2009	PET: WARN, CHERYL INEZ	N	U/A	Y		
29	10-CV-09-885	Carver County	Civil	Lori Ann Edstrom vs Commissioner of Public	6/11/2009	PET: MESHBESHER, STEVEN J	N	11/20/2009	Y		
30	10-CV-09-1036	Carver County	Civil	Jesse Lane Parker vs Commissioner of Public	7/2/2009	PET: SHERIDAN, JEFFREY STEPHEN	N	11/13/2009	Y		
31	10-CV-09-1070	Carver County	Civil	PAUL JAMES GROBE vs Commissioner of Public	7/16/2009	PET: AHERN, PAUL B	N	U/A	Y	11/21/2009	
32	10-CV-09-1171	Carver County	Civil	Rebekah Elizabeth Saugen vs Commissioner of Public	8/14/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N	U/A	N	12/5/2009	
33	10-CV-09-1248	Carver County	Civil	Ross Christopher Greischar vs Commissioner of Public	8/25/2009	PET: HALBERG, MARSH J	N	11/13/2009	Y		

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
34	10-CV-09-1280	Carver County	Civil	NATHAN JOHN MCINTOSH vs Commissioner of Public	9/1/2009	PET: MEANEY, ETHAN PATRICK	N	12/18/2009	Y		
35	10-CV-09-1285	Carver County	Civil	JOEL JAMES HILGERS vs Commissioner of Public	9/4/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N	U/A	Y		
36	10-CV-09-1345	Carver County	Civil	Eric Charles Schaub vs Commissioner of Public	9/14/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE	N	12/18/2009	Y		
37	10-CV-09-1381	Carver County	Civil	Kevin Gutzke vs Commissioner of Public	9/18/2009	PET: Lengeling, Robert	N	11/13/2009	Y		
38	10-CV-09-1382	Carver County	Civil	Marsha Ann Fadel vs Commissioner of Public	9/18/2009	PET: Pacyga, Ryan	N	11/13/2009	Y		
39	10-CV-09-1404	Carver County	Civil	James E. Rawson vs Commissioner of Public	9/24/2009	PET: Swanson, Richard	N	11/20/2009	Y		
40	10-CV-09-1421	Carver County	Civil	Jeffrey S. Noll vs Commissioner of Public Saf	9/28/2009	PET: Ring, Jeffery	N	11/20/2009	Y		
41	10-CV-09-1466	Carver County	Civil	Mark Dahlin vs Commisioner of Public Safety	10/1/2009	PET:Eggert, Francis	N	11/13/2009	Y		
42	10-CV-09-1481	Carver County	Civil	Stephanie Thompson vs Commissioner of Public	10/7/2009	PET: Naros, Kristen	N	11/20/2009	Y		
43	10-CV-09-1503	Carver County	Civil	Tony J. Wagener vs Commisioner of Public Safety	10/9/2009	PET:Garry, Ryan	N	11/20/2009	Y		
44	10-CV-09-1538	Carver County	Civil	Matthew A. Alery vs Commissioner of Public	10/14/2009	PET: Ritts, Chris	N	11/20/2009	Y		
45	10-CV-09-1546	Carver County	Civil	Andrew G. Bizek vs Commissioner of Public	10/22/2009	PET: Garvis, Andrew	N	12/18/2009	Y		
46	19C2-07-2658	Dakota	IMP	Joshua Jon Blaeser	4/10/2007	Ring, Jeffrey	none	1/8/2010	n	10/9/2009	9/8/2009
47	19C10713537	Dakota	IMP	Eric Ernest Polkinghorne	5/18/2007	Ayers, David L.				10/6/2009	9/21/2009
48	19CX0713858	Dakota	IMP	Annette Marie Stephens	6/11/2007	Sheridan, Jeffrey S.		11/4/2009		10/7/2009	8/24/2009
49	19-C4-07-10728	Dakota	IMP	Kassandra Jean Owens	9/12/2007	Jeffery Sheridan	Connolly	N	?	10/9/2009	8/20/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
50	19C00715392	Dakota	IMP	Ivan Yaremchuk	10/4/2007	Valentini, David L.		11/4/2009		10/7/2009	9/10/2009
51	19C8-07-4110	Dakota	IMP	James Arnold Groebner	11/14/2007	pro-se	none	9/25/2009	?	10/27/2009	Mail ret'd undelivered
52	19C4-07-4217	Dakota	IMP	Johhn Steven Stanislawski	11/15/2007	Dillon, Timothy	none	9/25/2009	n	10/27/2009	
53	19c4-07-4105	Dakota	IMP	Brandon Matthew Shada	11/17/2007	Ayers, David	none	9/25/2009	n	10/24/2009	10/12/2009
54	19C0-07-4263	Dakota	IMP	Aleksandr Valentinovich Babin	11/26/2007	Ronald Latz	none		n	9/25/2009	9/17/2009
55	19CX0716002	Dakota	IMP	Danette Birch Tyrrell	11/27/2007	Magee, Gerald James		10/27/2009		10/6/2009	10/1/2009
56	19C0-07-4358	Dakota	IMP	Amy R. Reynolds	12/3/2007	Sheridan, Jeffrey	none		?	9/25/2009	9/8/2009
57	19-CX-07-30238	Dakota	IMP	Trevor Howard Carey	12/4/2007	Steven Meshbeshner		11/20/2009	?	10/12/2009	9/28/2009
58	19C0-07-4330	Dakota	IMP	Kevin Michael Livgard	12/4/2007	Heiligman, Joel N	none		?	9/21/2009	9/8/2009
59	19C90716086	Dakota	IMP	Joseph Gerald Zien	12/5/2007	McDonald, Michael W.	Blakely	11/4/2009		10/5/2009	8/27/2009
60	19C3-07-4354	Dakota	IMP	Martin Gerard Hermann	12/18/2007	Edward Cohen, Jr	none	12/11/2009	n	9/21/2009	9/10/2009
61	19C5-08-2101	Dakota	IMP	Vuthy Jemmy Phan	1/7/2008	Beito, Thomas Mark	Blakely		n	10/27/2009	
62	19-C8-08-6093	Dakota	IMP	Alexander Michael Kanwischer	1/8/2008	Edward Cohen, Jr				10/9/2009	9/10/2009
63	19CX-08-2143	Dakota	IMP	Peter James Donaldson	1/11/2008	Sheridan, Jeffrey	none	9/25/2009	n	10/9/2009	9/10/2009
64	19c40812280	Dakota	IMP	Roger Dale Haugen	1/22/2008	Grove, Christopher Alan		10/6/2009 11/4/2009		10/7/2009	9/15/2009
65	19-C4-08-6530	Dakota	IMP	Kevin Michael Kieffer	1/30/2008	David Ayers		10/2/2009		12/6/2009	11/3/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
66	19-C9-08-6829	Dakota	IMP	Suzanne Jeanne Connell	2/5/2008	Ryan Pacyga		10/16/2009	Y	11/7/2009	9/18/2009
67	19C00812728	Dakota	IMP	Ryan James Hildebrandt	2/20/2008	Pacyga, Ryan Michael	Moynihan	11/4/2009		10/7/2009	9/14/2009
68	19C3-08-2369	Dakota	IMP	Steven Michael Wielinski	2/21/2008	Lucas, John Lambert	none		?	11/13/2009	11/6/2009
69	19CX0812784	Dakota	IMP	Brian Patrick Regan	2/25/2008	Cohen, Edward Jr.		11/4/2009		10/6/2009	9/9/2009
70	19WS-CV-08-822	Dakota	IMP	Richard A. Christopherson	3/19/2008	Ramsey, Charles	none	n/a	n	9/21/2009	9/1/2009
71	19AVCV08333	Dakota	IMP	Timothy Allen Muraski	3/26/2008	Sheridan, Jeffrey S.		10/27/2009		10/6/2009	8/26/2009
72	19AV-CV-08-413	Dakota	IMP	Kelly Ann Hilgert	4/7/2008	Jeffrey Ring		10/6/2009		12/6/2009	
73	19HA-CV-08-1304	Dakota	IMP	Alex John Rood	4/29/2008	Jeffrey Ring		9/18/2009		10/12/2009	9/14/2009
74	19CX-08-2210	Dakota	IMP	Tim Robert Young	6/25/2008	Swanson, Richard	none	9/25/2009	?	10/27/2009	10/27/2009
75	19ws-cv-08-908	Dakota	IMP	Janice Kay Luker	7/11/2008	Sheridan, Jeffrey	none	9/25/2009	?	10/5/2009	9/2/2009
76	19HA-CV-08-3341	Dakota	IMP	Cardell G. Campbell	8/21/2008	Charles Ramsey	King	9/18/2009		10/12/2009	9/2/2009
77	19AVCV082182	Dakota	IMP	Brian Kendall Hatfield	8/28/2008	Ring, Jeffrey B		11/4/2009 11/27/2009		10/14/2009	8/27/2009
78	19AVCV082342	Dakota	IMP	Donovan Michael Gruebele	9/8/2008	Schafer, Brent		11/4/2009		10/24/2009	9/16/2009
79	19HA-CV-08-3964	Dakota	IMP	Keith Brian Guy	9/15/2008	Thomas Shiah		N	N	10/6/2009	9/3/2009
80	19WS-CV-08-1481	Dakota	IMP	Donald Patrick Koller	10/3/2008	McCloud, Samuel A.	none		?	11/16/2009	10/2/2009
81	19WS-CV-08-1732	Dakota	IMP	Sarah Marie Stevenson	11/6/2008	Ayers, David	none		n	10/24/2009	

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
82	19C0-07-3968	Dakota	IMP	Kevin William Saunby	11/10/2008	Christensen, Robert	none		?	9/25/2009	9/14/2009
83	19WS-CV-08-1831	Dakota	IMP	Thomas John Ferris	11/10/2008	Ferris, Thomas	King		y	9/25/2009	9/9/2009
84	19WS-CV-08-1854	Dakota	IMP	Richard Jerome Kleven	11/18/2008	Sheridan, Jeffrey	none	11/17/2009	y	12/7/2009	10/27/2009
85	19AV-CV-08-3606	Dakota	IMP	Christian Willard	11/18/2008	Sessoms, Faison T		1/5/2010		10/5/2009	10/1/2009
86	19WS-CV-08-1858	Dakota	IMP	Joel B Elder	11/20/2008	Ramsey, Charles	none		n	11/13/2009	10/8/2009
87	19HA-CV-08-5900	Dakota	IMP	Patricia Evelyn Blegen	12/19/2008	Jeffrey Sheridan		N	Y	10/12/2009	8/24/2009
88	19HA-CV-08-5897	Dakota	IMP	Michelle Dawn Mlinar	12/23/2008	Joy Bartscher		8/21/2009		1/2/2010	
89	19AVCV084030	Dakota	IMP	Richard Marshall Rgnonti	12/23/2008	Grove, Christopher Alan	Stacey	11/4/2009 12/15/2009		10/7/2009	9/15/2009
90	19avcv09146	Dakota	IMP	Gregory Bruce Anderson	12/31/2008	Ohlenberg, Richard Paul	Carolan	12/15/2009		10/4/2009	
91	19HA-CV-09-182	Dakota	IMP	Jeremiah Douglas Fairbanks	1/8/2009	Steven Grimshaw					10/23/2009
92	19HA-CV-09-212	Dakota	IMP	Mark Robert Shirley	1/13/2009	Gerald Miller		N	N	10/26/2009	10/12/2009
93	19WS-CV-09-156	Dakota	IMP	Mary L. Newman	1/13/2009	Sessoms, Faison T	none		n	11/13/2009	10/15/2009
94	19avcv09225	Dakota	IMP	Nicole Marie Kenison	1/14/2009	Meaney, Ethan Patrick	Moynihan	11/4/2009		11/8/2009	9/16/2009
95	19AVCV09327	Dakota	IMP	Kenneth Clayton Haug III	1/20/2009	O'Brien, Timothy Alan		9/15/2009			
96	19WS-CV-09-162	Dakota	IMP	Jason John Haala	1/21/2009	Ring, Jeffrey	McManus		?	12/7/2009	10/27/2009
97	19ws-cv-09-135	Dakota	IMP	Kyle James Bean	1/23/2009	Schulte, Frank Arend	none		y	10/19/2009	

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
98	19ws-cv-09-183	Dakota	IMP	Carly Brianne Wilson	1/29/2009	Berris, Marc	Simonett	Admin rev 11/17/2009	?	10/5/2009	9/2/2009
99	19ws-cv-09-195	Dakota	IMP	Brent Ryan Wilcox	1/29/2009	Valentini, David	none	11/17/2009	?	10/5/2009	9/14/2009
100	12WS-CV-09-236	Dakota	IMP	Lawrence Edward Kehoe	2/3/2009	Sheridan, Jeffrey	none		?	12/7/2009	10/27/2009
101	19WS-CV-09-241	Dakota	IMP	Anne Delaney Kreiser	2/9/2009	Bartscher, Joy	none		n	11/13/2009	10/12/2009
102	19HA-CV-09-1145	Dakota	IMP	Jonathon Aaron Conklin	2/25/2009	John Price III		9/30/2009		10/23/2009	10/28/2009
103	19ws-cv-09-408	Dakota	IMP	Benjamin David Yau	2/26/2009	Ohlenberg, Richard	none		?	10/19/2009	
104	19HA-CV-09-1394	Dakota	IMP	David A. Dutrieuille	3/11/2009	Daniel Koewler		N	Y	10/12/2009	9/3/2009
105	19WS-CV-09-455	Dakota	IMP	Christopher Lee Johnson	3/11/2009	Goldberger, Rachael Anne	none	10/9/2009	n	10/26/2009	9/9/2009
106	19avcv091101	Dakota	IMP	Paul Deondre Williams	3/17/2009	Ohlenberg, Richard Paul	Carolan	11/4/2009		10/24/2009	8/29/2009
107	19WS-CV-09-492	Dakota	IMP	Anthony Paul Scharff	3/18/2009	Lang, Debbie	none	12/15/2009	y	12/15/2009	10/30/2009
108	19WS-CV-09-495	Dakota	IMP	Dennis Rudy Steinke	3/19/2009	Ring, Jeffrey	none	12/11/2009		9/21/2009	9/14/2009
109	19WS-CV-09-502	Dakota	IMP	Andrew William Rosener	3/19/2009	Cohen Jr, Edward	none		n	10/19/2009	9/10/2009
110	19WS-CV-09-507	Dakota	IMP	Melissa Lynn Atwood	3/20/2009	Koch, Richard	none	11/3/2009	?	10/23/2009	9/8/2009
111	19HA-CV-09-1681	Dakota	IMP	David Michael Todd	3/24/2009	Anthony Grostyan		N	N	10/6/2009	9/3/2009
112	19WS-CV-09-565	Dakota	IMP	Justin L Westphal	3/25/2009	Sessoms, Faison T	none		n	11/16/2009	10/15/2009
113	19WS-CV-09-566	Dakota	IMP	Lucas Paul Picard	3/26/2009	Pacyga, Ryan Michael	none	1/8/2010	n	10/15/2009	9/14/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
114	19avcv091276	Dakota	IMP	Raymond George Tice	3/30/2009	Orwig, Lee Myron		11/4/2009 12/1/2009		10/7/2009	9/3/2009
115	19ws-cv-09-545	Dakota	IMP	Tracy Ann Gunderson	4/1/2009	Patrin, Derek Anthony	Abrams (Asphaug assigned)		?	10/19/2009	
116	19avcv091301	Dakota	IMP	Margaret Louise Heintze	4/2/2009	McDonough, Mark A		12/29/2009		10/6/2009	
117	19avcv091324	Dakota	IMP	Demetrius Binton Lowary	4/6/2009	Zulk, Christopher M		9/15/2009		11/15/2009	11/2/2009
118	19HA-CV-09-2050	Dakota	IMP	Laura R. Ellsworth	4/7/2009	Thomas Bauer		8/21/2009		1/2/2010	
119	19avcv091422	Dakota	IMP	Mark Dale Brodin	4/9/2009	Devore, Kevin Wade		11/4/2009 12/1/2009		10/7/2009	9/9/2009
120	19AV-CV-09-1520	Dakota	IMP	Robert Edward Niesen	4/17/2009	Joseph Kaminski		9//1/09			9/1/2009
121	19HA-CV-09-2371	Dakota	IMP	Bradley Richard Malmgren	4/23/2009	Marsh Halberg		10/16/2009	?	10/16/2009	9/2/2009
122	19WS-CV-09-747	Dakota	IMP	Joseph T. Drobac	4/29/2009	Bauer, Thomas	none		?	9/25/2009	9/21/2009
123	19avcv091804	Dakota	IMP	Don Wilson	5/8/2009	Blumberg, James L				10/24/2009	
124	19WS-CV-09-458	Dakota	IMP	Joseph Emmanuel Stangle	5/10/2009	Grostyan, Anthony	none	1/8/2010	n	10/30/2009	10/1/2009
125	19HA-CV-09-3037	Dakota	Imp	Nathan Robert Voss	5/12/2009	Lee Orwig		19HA-CV-08-4529		11/23/2009	10/20/2009
126	19avcv091887	Dakota	IMP	Taurino Segura Lima	5/12/2009	Nepp, Douglas E				10/24/2009	
127	19ws-cv-09-404	Dakota	IMP	Joseph Cuylar Blair	5/16/2009	Pacyga, Ryan Michael	none		?	10/5/2009	9/14/2009
128	19HA-CV-09-3408	Dakota	IMP	Jacob Nils Hansen	5/22/2009	Steven Grimshaw		10/30/2009			10/23/2009
129	19WS-CV-09-575	Dakota	IMP	Drew Philip Nelson	5/22/2009	Goldberger, Rachael Anne	none	1/8/2010	n	10/15/2009	9/17/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
130	19AV-CV-09-2069	Dakota	IMP	Corey Noel Johnston	5/27/2009	Gregory Schmidt		10/6/2009		12/6/2009	
131	19WS-CV-09-944	Dakota	IMP	Garrett Alexander Weimer	6/2/2009	Sheridan, Jeffrey	none	12/11/2009	?	10/5/2009	10/12/2009
132	19avcv092374	Dakota	IMP	Christine Davies Kvick	6/17/2009	Valentini, David L.		11/4/2009		10/14/2009	9/1/2009
133	19AV-CV-09-2499	Dakota	IMP	Michael J. Czeck	6/29/2009	Thomas Bauer				11/6/2009	10/29/2009
134	19AV-CV-09-3021	Dakota	IMP	Nicholas John Cummings	8/5/2009	Douglas Hazelton		10/6/2009		12/6/2009	10/14/2009
135	19AV-CV-09-3099	Dakota	IMP	Kelli JO Gardner	8/12/2009	John Price III		10/6/2009		12/6/2009	10/22/2009
136	19AV-CV-09-3145	Dakota	IMP	Jeffrey Scott Kennedy	8/12/2009	Lee Orwig		10/6/2009		12/6/2009	
137	19AV-CV-09-3294	Dakota	IMP	Brad Michael Rehkemper	8/21/2009	Robert Oleisky		11/3/2009			10/12/2009
138	19AV-CV-09-3279	Dakota	IMP	Timohty Earl Oian	8/24/2009	Joel Heiligman		10/20/2009			10/14/2009
139	19avcv093366	Dakota	IMP	Kaitlyn Nicole Czech	8/28/2009	Valentini, David L.		10/27/2009		11/16/2009	10/1/2009
140	19avcv093405	Dakota	IMP	Michael Joseph Paasch	9/9/2009	Cotter, Patrick Lennae		11/3/2009			
141	19WS-CV-09-1600	WSP	IMP	Joshua Thomas Arndt	9/23/2009	Heiligman, Joel N	none	11/17/2009	y	Motion filed w/Nda's	11/3/2009
142	19HA-CV-09-6054	Dakota	IMP	Steven Richard Peterson	9/30/2009	Paul Ahern		11/20/2009			10/7/2009
143	19WS-CV-09-635	Dakota	IMP	James Philip Laitinen	10/5/2009	Olson, Eric John	none		n	11/19/2009	10/5/2009
144	19WS-CV-09-607	Dakota	IMP	Renee Marie Klein	10/5/2009	Daub, Michael H	none		n	11/19/2009	
145	19WS-CV-09-1706	Dakota	IMP	Aaron John Finco	10/7/2009	James Ventura		11/13/2009			

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
146	19AV-CV-09-4124	Dakota	IMP	Craig Warren Sandford	10/27/2009	David Risk		12/8/2009			
147	25-CV-07-3575	Goodhue	IC	Loren Gene Erickson vs Commissioner of Public	10/1/2007	Samuel McCloud					
148	25-CV-07-4224	Goodhue	IC	Joshua Nicholas Fox vs Commissioner of Public	11/15/2007	Tim Dillon					
149	40-CV-09-314	LeSueur County	Implied Consent	Rhonda Lee Weber v. Commissioner of Public	3/19/2009	Samuel McCloud	n/a	n/a	n	10/9/2009	10/16/2009
150	40-CV-09-684	LeSueur County	Implied Consent	Carmen Irene Wessels v. Commissioner of Public	6/29/2009	Alan Eskens	n/a	11/3/2009	y		
151	40-CV-09-741	LeSueur County	Implied Consent	Spencer Waldron Hardy v. Commissioner of Public	7/10/2009	Kirk M. Anderson	n/a	10/22/2009	Y		
152	40-CV-09-1128	LeSueur County	Implied Consent	Mark Edward Johnson v. Commissioner of Public	10/19/2009	Conor E Tobin	n/a	12/17/2009	y		
153	40-CV-09-1143	LeSueur County	Implied Consent	James Richard Kubes v. Commissioner of Public	10/26/2009	Samuel McCloud	n/a	12/17/2009	y		10/26/2009
154	43-CV-08-2080	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Shon Michael Landfair vs Commissioner of Public	9/11/2008	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD L.; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		11/4/2009	Y	10/28/2009	9/3/2009
155	43-CV-08-2573	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Debra Kay Giese vs Commissioner of Public	11/4/2008	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD L.; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		11/4/2009	Y	10/28/2009	9/3/2009
156	43-CV-09-188	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Nigel Scott Erickson vs Commissioner of Public	1/8/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD L.; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		11/4/2009	Y	11/3/2009	9/17/2009
157	43-CV-09-264	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Krista Ann Yoch-Bailey vs Commissioner of Public	1/30/2009	PET: Jeffrey B. Ring; RSP: Atty General		11/4/2009	Y	11/28/2009	10/1/2009
158	43-CV-09-296	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Adam Michael Lehrke vs Commissioner of Public	2/5/2009	PET: Jeffrey B. Ring; RSP: Atty General	Judge Dempsey	11/4/2009	Y	11/28/2009	10/13/2009
159	43-CV-091372	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Jessica Jane Boe vs Commissioner of Public	6/26/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD L.; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		11/4/2009	Y	10/10/2009	9/2/2009
160	43-CV-09-1364	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Craig Dean Sorell vs Commissioner of Public	6/29/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD L.; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		11/4/2009	y	11/7/2009	10/15/2009
161	43-CV-091984	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Marvin John Sprengeler vs Commissioner of Public	10/16/2009	PET: Pamela Marie Cecchini RSP: Attorney General's	Judge Savre	11/4/2009	N	-----	10/16/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
162	43-CV-09-1990	McLeod County	Implied Consent	Gregory Gerald Graczyk vs Commissioner of Public	10/20/2009	PET: Paul Ahern; RSP: Atty General		11/4/2009	Y	---	10/20/2009
163	70-CV-06-5261	Scott County	Implied Consent	Christina Lorrie McCloud vs Commissioner of Public	2/23/2006	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
164	70-CV-06-7711	Scott County	Implied Consent	David Joseph Gavel vs Commissioner of Public	3/23/2006	PET: WOLD, PETER; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/15/2009	
165	70-CV-06-8284	Scott County	Implied Consent	Todd Scott Yankoviak vs Commissioner of Public	3/30/2006	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/2009	N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
166	70-CV-06-17406	Scott County	Implied Consent	John Shebat McKenzie vs Commissioner of Public	7/26/2006	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
167	70-CV-06-18715	Scott County	Implied Consent	Adam Ryan McMahon vs Commissioner of Public	8/9/2006	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/09 & 12/10/09	Y	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
168	70-CV-07-447	Scott County	Implied Consent	Robert Michael Louder vs Commissioner of Public	1/5/2007	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/2009	N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
169	70-CV-07-1826	Scott County	Implied Consent	Mark Douglas King vs. Commissioner of Public	1/22/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/2/2009	9/3/2009
170	70-CV-07-2303	Scott County	Implied Consent	Antonio Gonzalez vs Commissioner of Public	1/29/2007	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
171	70-CV-07-2946	Scott County	Implied Consent	Carissa Marie Hammer vs Commissioner of Public	2/5/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Hanson		Y	10/5/2009	9/8/2009
172	70-CV-07-8839	Scott County	Implied Consent	Jeffrey Alan Cheever vs Commissioner of Public	4/9/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/9/2009
173	70-CV-07-9545	Scott County	Implied Consent	Melissa Marie Worm vs Commissioner of Public	4/18/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/9/2009
174	70-CV-07-10035	Scott County	Implied Consent	RYAN LEE WIESELER vs Commissioner of Public	4/24/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/16/2009	10/1/2009
175	70-CV-07-10978	Scott County	Implied Consent	Leslie Dee Marschall vs Commissioner of Public	5/7/2007	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/15/2009	9/25/2009
176	70-CV-07-12292	Scott County	Implied Consent	Mitchell Bruce Meischke vs Commissioner of Public	5/24/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	1/4/2010	11/13/2009
177	70-CV-07-12786	Scott County	Implied Consent	Amy Lynn Arbuckle vs Commissioner of Public	6/1/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/9/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
178	70-CV-07-13478	Scott County	Implied Consent	Kelly Rae Baggenstoss vs Commissioner of Public	6/11/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/3/2009
179	70-CV-07-14737	Scott County	Implied Consent	Todd Dale Hanneman vs Commissioner of Public	6/29/2007	PET: MEANEY, ETHAN PATRICK; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/2009	N	10/12/2009	10/13/2009
180	70-CV-07-15112	Scott County	Implied Consent	Samantha Lynn Kirschstein vs Commissioner of Public	7/5/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Hanson		N	10/12/2009	9/3/2009
181	70-CV-07-17551	Scott County	Implied Consent	Jodi Anne Schaubsluger vs Commissioner of Public	8/1/2007	PET: RING, JEFFREY B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	Carter		Y	11/9/2009	10/13/2009
182	70-CV-07-17829	Scott County	Implied Consent	William Henry Bethke vs Commissioner of Public	8/2/2007	PET: TOLIN, STEFAN; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	11/2/2009	10/9/2009
183	70-CV-07-18013	Scott County	Implied Consent	JOHN JOSEPH WYBEST vs Commissioner of Public	8/6/2007	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/3/2009
184	70-CV-07-18503	Scott County	Implied Consent	Selena Racheal Mendolia vs Commissioner of Public	8/9/2007	PET: OLKON, ELLIS; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/20/2009	
185	70-CV-07-22367	Scott County	Implied Consent	Duane Palma vs Commissioner of Public	9/13/2007	PET: WALBURG, STEPHEN W; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/17/2009
186	70-CV-07-22533	Scott County	Implied Consent	Erik Forrest Akers vs Commissioner of Public	9/17/2007	PET: RING, JEFFREY B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	11/9/2009	10/13/2009
187	70-CV-07-22534	Scott County	Implied Consent	STEVEN ANDREW DOYLE vs Commissioner of Public	9/17/2007	PET: RING, JEFFREY B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	11/24/2009	10/8/2009
188	70-CV-07-22862	Scott County	Implied Consent	JAMES ROBERT DAVIS vs Commissioner of Public	9/20/2007	PET: PRICE, JOHN ASHTON, III; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Connelly		Y	10/12/2009	10/21/2009
189	70-CV-07-23951	Scott County	Implied Consent	DANIEL LLOYD WAALEN vs Commissioner of Public	10/4/2007	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/15/2009	9/25/2009
190	70-CV-07-25545	Scott County	Implied Consent	Ashley Lynn Cizek vs Commissioner of Public	10/20/2007	PET: MCDONALD, MICHAEL W; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			Y	10/15/2009	9/1/2009
191	70-CV-07-26344	Scott County	Implied Consent	Shrikant Makhukar Choudhary vs Commissioner	10/27/2007	PET: HAZELTON, DOUGLAS VOIGT; RSP: ATTORNEY	Savre		N	10/26/2009	9/16/2009
192	70-CV-07-26947	Scott County	Implied Consent	Lisa Hansen Valley vs Commissioner of Public	11/1/2007	PET: HEEFNER, CARSON JAMES; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
193	70-CV-07-26999	Scott County	Implied Consent	BRIAN LEE JOHNSON vs Commissioner of Public	11/2/2007	PET: TIMMONS, PETER J; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	12/21/2009	11/3/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
194	70-CV-07-27021	Scott County	Implied Consent	KAY VANEVERY vs Commissioner of Public	11/2/2007	PET: RING, JEFFREY B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	Savre		N	11/3/2009	9/4/2009
195	70-CV-07-27430	Scott County	Implied Consent	Roger Delbert Zemaitis vs Commissioner of Public	11/7/2007	PET: PRICE, JOHN ASHTON, III; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	11/2/2009	11/10/2009
196	70-CV-07-27691	Scott County	Implied Consent	Brian Thomas Herber vs Commissioner of Public	11/13/2007	PET: GROSTYAN, ANTHONY MICHAEL; RSP: ATTORNEY	Moynihan		N	10/12/2009	9/18/2009
197	70-CV-07-28033	Scott County	Implied Consent	Diane Luella Kramer vs Commissioner of Public	11/16/2007	PET: JOHNSON, DENNIS B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	11/16/2009	10/13/2009
198	70-CV-07-28436	Scott County	Implied Consent	JUSTIN THOMAS ROBERTS vs Commissioner of Public	11/27/2007	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/2009	N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
199	70-CV-07-30205	Scott County	Implied Consent	MATTHEW JOHN ERICKSON vs Commissioner of Public	12/18/2007	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		1/28/2010	Y	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
200	70-CV-07-30333	Scott County	Implied Consent	Kirk Kenneth Kendzior vs Commissioner of Public	12/19/2007	PET: KELLER, MAX ALLEN; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	10/12/2009	9/1/2009
201	70-CV-08-990	Scott County	Implied Consent	Kyle R. George vs Commissioner of Public	1/11/2008	PET: LORAAS, JAMES RUSSELL; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Fahey		Y	10/12/2009	9/18/2009
202	70-CV-08-994	Scott County	Implied Consent	Richard Thomas Brackstone vs Commissioner of Public	1/11/2008	PET: MEANEY, ETHAN PATRICK; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/2009	Y	11/23/2009	9/22/2009
203	70-CV-08-1764	Scott County	Implied Consent	Chris Michael Bieder vs Commissioner of Public	1/22/2008	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
204	70-CV-08-2030	Scott County	Implied Consent	Nancy Ann Fischer vs Commissioner of Public	1/24/2008	PET: RING, JEFFREY B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/20/2009	9/10/2009
205	70-CV-08-2098	Scott County	Implied Consent	Nicholas Kinsey Andsn Long vs Commissioner of Public	1/25/2008	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/9/2009
206	70-CV-08-3666	Scott County	Implied Consent	Nathan Matthew Brown vs Commissioner of Public	2/12/2008	PET: SHERIDAN, JEFFREY STEPHEN; RSP: ATTORNEY	Connelly		Y	10/12/2009	9/8/2009
207	70-CV-08-3735	Scott County	Implied Consent	Leslie Dee Marschall vs Commissioner of Public	2/12/2008	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
208	70-CV-08-5613	Scott County	Implied Consent	Alison Marie Meyer vs Commissioner of Public	3/6/2008	PET: KANS, DOUGLAS TROY; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/10/2009
209	70-CV-08-5714	Scott County	Implied Consent	DEAN ROBERT ANDERSON vs Commissioner of Public	3/7/2008	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/09 & 12/10/09	Y	10/26/2009	9/25/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
210	70-CV-08-6782	Scott County	Implied Consent	Corey Michael McKasy vs Commissioner of Public	3/20/2008	PET: MEANEY, ETHAN PATRICK; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/2009	N	10/5/2009	9/9/2009
211	70-CV-08-6826	Scott County	Implied Consent	Daniel Otto Will vs Commissioner of Public	3/20/2008	PET: MEANEY, ETHAN PATRICK; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Fahey		N	10/5/2009	9/8/2009
212	70-CV-08-7101	Scott County	Implied Consent	BARRY JEROME LEWIS vs Commissioner of Public	3/25/2008	PET: SHERIDAN, JEFFREY STEPHEN; RSP: ATTORNEY			N	10/15/2009	9/8/2009
213	70-CV-08-8210	Scott County	Implied Consent	Richard Lee Bailey vs Commissioner of Public	4/4/2008	PET: MCCLLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Fahey		N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
214	70-CV-08-8217	Scott County	Implied Consent	Laura Jeanne Gaylord vs Commissioner of Public	4/4/2008	PET: KOCH, RICHARD F; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	10/26/2009	9/15/2009
215	70-CV-08-8275	Scott County	Implied Consent	Ross Mitchel Lazarov vs Commissioner of Public	4/4/2008	PET: GROSTYAN, ANTHONY MICHAEL; RSP: ATTORNEY	Fahey		N	10/19/2009	9/10/2009
216	70-CV-08-8873	Scott County	Implied Consent	Mitchel Alan Persons vs Commissioner of Public	4/11/2008	PET: MCCLLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
217	70-CV-08-10255	Scott County	Implied Consent	Christopher Paul Jolitz vs Commissioner of Public	4/24/2008	PET: SCHAFER, BRENT; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	Connelly	5/27/2010	Y	9/28/2009	9/15/2009
218	70-CV-08-10665	Scott County	Implied Consent	Ronald Jacob Smith vs Commissioner of Public	4/29/2008	PET: MEANEY, ETHAN PATRICK; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Fahey		N	1/11/2010	11/12/009
219	70-CV-08-10791	Scott County	Implied Consent	Tessa Fae Fink vs Commissioner of Public	4/30/2008	PET: LEWIS, SCOTT A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	Blakely		N	10/27/2009	9/25/2009
220	70-CV-08-20823	Scott County	Implied Consent	Peter Max vs Commissioner of Public Safety	9/2/2008	PET: MCCLLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
221	70-CV-08-21722	Scott County	Implied Consent	Steven Duane Schumacher vs Commissioner of Public	9/10/2008	PET: SCHAFER, BRENT; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/26/2009	10/15/2009
222	70-CV-08-23420	Scott County	Implied Consent	ANDREW PAUL HALVORSON vs Commissioner of Public	10/2/2008	PET: GROVE, CHRISTOPHER ALAN; RSP: ATTORNEY			Y	10/26/2009	9/14/2009
223	70-CV-08-23427	Scott County	Implied Consent	Tyler John Fritsvold vs Commissioner of Public	10/2/2008	PET: HALBERG, MARSH J; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/26/2009	9/10/2009
224	70-CV-08-23429	Scott County	Implied Consent	Joanne Marie Gallery vs Commissioner of Public	10/2/2008	PET: APPLEBY, TINA H; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/26/2009	9/15/2009
225	70-CV-08-23647	Scott County	Implied Consent	Steven James Wille vs Commissioner of Public	10/7/2008	PET: MCCLLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/26/2009	11/2/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
226	70-CV-08-23800	Scott County	Implied Consent	Pablo Cabral vs Commissioner of Public	10/9/2008	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			Y	11/4/2009	9/3/2009
227	70-CV-08-24093	Scott County	Implied Consent	Philip Taylor Meade vs Commissioner of Public	10/14/2008	PET: HEILIGMAN, JOEL N; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	10/12/2009	9/9/2009
228	70-CV-08-24442	Scott County	Implied Consent	Dennis Gregory Diessner vs Commissioner of Public	10/16/2008	PET: COHEN, EDWARD MARSHALL, Jr.; RSP: ATTORNEY			Y	10/12/2009	9/10/2009
229	70-CV-08-24485	Scott County	Implied Consent	JOSHUA DAVID TICHY vs Commissioner of Public	10/17/2008	PET: RING, JEFFREY B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	10/9/2009	10/20/2009
230	70-CV-08-26735	Scott County	Implied Consent	Jonathan E. Mitchell vs Commissioner of Public	11/18/2008	PET: SESSOMS, FAISON T, Jr.; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/3/2009
231	70-CV-08-27066	Scott County	Implied Consent	David Allen Madigan vs Commissioner of Public	11/21/2008	PET: AHERN, PAUL B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	Lennon		Y	10/26/2009	9/14/2009
232	70-CV-08-27347	Scott County	Implied Consent	Prashubh Batham vs Commissioner of Public	11/26/2008	PET: SCHAFER, BRENT; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	11/30/2009	10/13/2009
233	70-CV-08-27648	Scott County	Implied Consent	Franklin Deane Povhe vs Commissioner of Public	12/3/2008	PET: GERSHIN, ROGER A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	Lennon		N	10/12/2009	9/9/2009
234	70-CV-08-28395	Scott County	Implied Consent	Benjamin Aaron Miller vs Commissioner of Public	12/11/2008	PET: COHEN, EDWARD MARSHALL, Jr.; RSP: ATTORNEY			Y	10/12/2009	9/10/2009
235	70-CV-08-28398	Scott County	Implied Consent	Scott Robert Wennerstrom vs Commissioner of Public	12/11/2008	PET: LANG, DEBBIE EVA; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	10/12/2009	9/1/2009
236	70-CV-08-29244	Scott County	Implied Consent	Nina J Konz vs Commissioner of Public	12/23/2008	PET: SESSOMS, FAISON T, Jr.; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/8/2009	9/3/2009
237	70-CV-09-64	Scott County	Implied Consent	ROGER ELLIS SODERSTROM vs Commissioner of Public	1/2/2009	PET: MCDONALD, MICHAEL W; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		1/14/2010	Y	10/20/2009	9/2/2009
238	70-CV-09-983	Scott County	Implied Consent	Cynthia Ann Kuhlman vs Commissioner of Public	1/15/2009	PET: HAZELTON, DOUGLAS VOIGT; RSP: ATTORNEY			Y	10/26/2009	9/8/2009
239	70-CV-09-1510	Scott County	Implied Consent	JASON RONALD LARSON vs Commissioner of Public	1/23/2009	PET: TIMMONS, PETER J; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	10/12/2009	9/22/2009
240	70-CV-09-1519	Scott County	Implied Consent	Dmitri Soudakov vs Commissioner of Public	1/23/2009	PET: CAPLAN, ALLAN H; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	12/21/2009	11/2/2009
241	70-CV-09-2438	Scott County	Implied Consent	Ella Fink vs Commissioner of Public Safety	2/3/2009	PET: LEWIS, SCOTT A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/15/2009	9/10/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
242	70-CV-09-2622	Scott County	Implied Consent	JOHN MICHAEL MCGUIGGAN vs Commissioner of Public	2/4/2009	PET: MEANEY, ETHAN PATRICK; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Abrams	11/20/2009	N	10/12/2009	9/14/2009
243	70-CV-09-2631	Scott County	Implied Consent	GREGORY SCOTT NELSON vs Commissioner of Public	2/4/2009	PET: GOLDBERGER, RACHAEL ANNE; RSP: ATTORNEY	Lennon		N	10/15/2009	8/28/2009
244	70-CV-09-3152	Scott County	Implied Consent	Thomas Grant McLean vs Commissioner of Public	2/10/2009	PET: TIMMONS, PETER J; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	Lennon		Y	10/12/09 & 10/26/09	9/24/2009
245	70-CV-09-3153	Scott County	Implied Consent	Derek Paul Doty vs Commissioner of Public	2/10/2009	PET: COHEN, EDWARD MARSHALL, Jr.; RSP: ATTORNEY		2/11/2010	Y	9/22/2009	9/9/2009
246	70-CV-09-3692	Scott County	Implied Consent	Sheila Ann Heikes vs Commissioner of Public	2/17/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			Y	10/26/2009	9/3/2009
247	70-CV-09-3761	Scott County	Implied Consent	Gerald Ward Gray vs Commissioner of Public	2/18/2009	PET: OHLENBERG, RICHARD PAUL; RSP: ATTORNEY			N	11/2/2009	9/24/2009
248	70-CV-09-4333	Scott County	Implied Consent	Marilyn Jo Kramar vs Commissioner of Public	2/24/2009	PET: LORAAS, JAMES RUSSELL; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			Y	10/12/2009	9/18/2009
249	70-CV-09-4562	Scott County	Implied Consent	Duane John Williams vs Commissioner of Public	2/25/2009	PET: ORWIG, LEE MYRON; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	11/23/2009	10/2/2009
250	70-CV-09-4790	Scott County	Implied Consent	STEVEN JAMES TIERNEY vs Commissioner of Public	3/2/2009	PET: WALBURG, STEPHEN W; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/17/2009
251	70-CV-09-4796	Scott County	Implied Consent	Ahmed Tawane vs Commissioner of Public	3/2/2009	PET: WALBURG, STEPHEN W; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/17/2009
252	70-CV-09-5639	Scott County	Implied Consent	Cody James Black vs Commissioner of Public	3/11/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/19/2009	9/3/2009
253	70-CV-09-5751	Scott County	Implied Consent	Bruce Owens vs Commissioner of Public	3/12/2009	PET: HEILIGMAN, JOEL N; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/20/2009	9/8/2009
254	70-CV-09-6159	Scott County	Implied Consent	Michael Joseph Orrie vs Commissioner of Public	3/18/2009	PET: SKEES, WILLIAM HARVEY; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		1/7/2010	Y	11/23/2009	9/28/2009
255	70-CV-09-6658	Scott County	Implied Consent	Charles Vernon Bemis vs Commissioner of Public	3/24/2009	PET: APPLEBY, TINA H; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	11/30/2009	10/8/2009
256	70-CV-09-6931	Scott County	Implied Consent	Carson James Heefner vs Commissioner of Public	3/30/2009	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		1/7/2010	Y	10/26/2009	9/25/2009
257	70-CV-09-7117	Scott County	Implied Consent	COREY LEE FARRELL vs Commissioner of Public	3/31/2009	PET: HOGEN, BARRY LOUIS; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			Y	10/15/2009	9/4/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
258	70-CV-09-7245	Scott County	Implied Consent	Pamela A. Ess vs Commissioner of Public	4/1/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/19/2009	9/3/2009
259	70-CV-09-7250	Scott County	Implied Consent	Andrew Joseph Petersen vs Commissioner of Public	4/1/2009	PET: DEVORE, KEVIN WADE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/15/2009
260	70-CV-09-7432	Scott County	Implied Consent	David John Moriarty vs Commissioner of Public	4/3/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD LEE; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			Y	9/14/2009	9/22/2009
261	70-CV-09-7518	Scott County	Implied Consent	Zachary Gene Cline vs Commissioner of Public	4/6/2009	PET: MESHBESHER, STEVEN J; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		12/10/2009	Y	12/21/2009	10/22/2009
262	70-CV-09-7722	Scott County	Implied Consent	Barret Michael Lee vs Commissioner of Public	4/7/2009	PET: BAUER, JASON NICHOLAS; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	9/22/2009
263	70-CV-09-8210	Scott County	Implied Consent	Craig Stewart Davidson vs Commissioner of Public	4/14/2009	PET: REYES, EMILIO DAVID; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/20/2009	9/17/2009
264	70-CV-09-8451	Scott County	Implied Consent	James Richard Kubes vs Commissioner of Public	4/16/2009	PET: MCCLOUD, SAMUEL A; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	11/30/2009	10/20/2009
265	70-CV-09-8453	Scott County	Implied Consent	Charles Scott Dent vs Commissioner of Public	4/16/2009	PET: LORAAS, JAMES RUSSELL; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	Fahey		N	10/12/2009	9/18/2009
266	70-CV-09-9422	Scott County	Implied Consent	Joseph J Dill vs Commissioner of Public	4/29/2009	PET: BAUER, THOMAS E; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	11/23/2009	9/24/2009
267	70-CV-09-10327	Scott County	Implied Consent	Christian Michael Elgethun vs Commissioner of Public	5/11/2009	PET: MACAULAY, JENNIFER M.; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	11/2/2009	9/22/2009
268	70-CV-09-10723	Scott County	Implied Consent	Todd Clayton Bristol	5/15/2009	PET: BRUNO, FREDERIC; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	11/16/2009	9/16/2009
269	70-CV-09-11140	Scott County	Implied Consent	Yesmine Joyce Aridi vs Commissioner of Public	5/20/2009	PET: AZARIAN, MARTIN SARKIS; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	9/14/2009	9/18/2009
270	70-CV-09-11749	Scott County	Implied Consent	MARK SHAHIN DANESHVAR vs Commissioner of Public	5/28/2009	PET: APPLEBY, TINA H; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	11/24/2009	10/8/2009
271	70-CV-09-11750	Scott County	Implied Consent	JASON ANTON RAMSEY vs Commissioner of Public	5/28/2009	PET: HAZELTON, DOUGLAS VOIGT; RSP: ATTORNEY		12/10/2009	Y	11/23/2009	9/28/2009
272	70-CV-09-12235	Scott County	Implied Consent	Gordon Richard Erickson, Jr. vs Commissioner of Public	6/3/2009	PET: KANS, DOUGLAS TROY; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		1/14/2010	Y		
273	70-CV-09-12247	Scott County	Implied Consent	Kathleen Patricia Forester vs Commissioner of Public	6/3/2009	PET: AHERN, PAUL B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	10/27/2009	9/15/2009

Implied Consent Source Code Cases
 Consolidated File No. 70-CV-09-19459

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Case Number	Location	Case Type	Style	Filed Date	Attorney	Judge(s) Removed	Hearings	Other Issues (Y/N)	Nondisclosure due date	Nondisclosure Filed
274	70-CV-09-12529	Scott County	Implied Consent	Krishna R. Malakapalli vs. Commissioner of Public	6/5/2009	PET: KANS, DOUGLAS TROY; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		1/14/2010	Y		
275	70-CV-09-12845	Scott County	Implied Consent	Linda Marie Griffus vs Commissioner of Public	6/11/2009	PET: BERGESON, STEVEN L; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/12/2009	
276	70-CV-09-13038	Scott County	Implied Consent	Matthew A Sandell vs Commissioner of Public	6/16/2009	PET: LORAAS, JAMES RUSSELL; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			Y	10/26/2009	9/18/2009
277	70-CV-09-13678	Scott County	Implied Consent	Paul Robert Rylance vs Commissioner of Public	6/23/2009	PET: TIMMONS, PETER J; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			N	11/30/2009	10/13/2009
278	70-CV-09-14091	Scott County	Implied Consent	Jingjo Lanvi vs Commissioner of Public Safety	6/25/2009	PET: STEELE, BRIAN NELSON; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		12/3/2009	Y		
279	70-CV-09-14431	Scott County	Implied Consent	Michele M. Dalbec vs Commissioner of Public	6/30/2009	PET: LORAAS, JAMES RUSSELL; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	10/27/2009	9/22/2009
280	70-CV-09-14500	Scott County	Implied Consent	Emily Elizabeth Gray vs Commissioner of Public	6/30/2009	PET: JAGOW, WAYNE ALAN; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S		1/7/2010	Y		
281	70-CV-09-14960	Scott County	Implied Consent	Chelsy Marie Lawson vs Commissioner of Public	7/6/2009	PET: SCHULTE, FRANK AREND; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			N	11/30/2009	11/5/2009
282	70-CV-09-15326	Scott County	Implied Consent	Jon Robert Bishop vs Commissioner of Public	7/8/2009	PET: RING, JEFFREY B; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	Abrams	11/20/2009	Y		
283	70-CV-09-17021	Scott County	Implied Consent	Steven Elroy Podratz vs Commissioner of Public	7/24/2009	PET: SOLEM, BRIAN L; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE			Y	11/16/2009	10/28/2009
284	70-CV-09-20166	Scott County	Implied Consent	Paige Maxine Sullivan vs Commissioner of Public	8/25/2009	PET: SWANSON, RICHARD L; RSP: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S			Y	11/23/2009	10/26/2009
285	72-CV-09-24	Sibley	IMP	Alexander Trout vs Comm.	2/2/2009	Samuel McCloud	Thomas McCarthy			11/2/2009	10/16/2009
286											
287											
288											